

A genome-wide scan for common alleles affecting risk for autism

Richard Anney¹, Lambertus Klei², Dalila Pinto³, Regina Regan⁴, Judith Conroy⁴, Tiago R. Magalhaes^{5,6}, Catarina Correia^{5,6}, Brett S. Abrahams⁷, Nuala Sykes⁸, Alistair T. Pagnamenta⁸, Joana Almeida⁹, Elena Bacchelli¹⁰, Anthony J. Bailey^{11,†}, Gillian Baird¹², Agatino Battaglia^{13,†}, Tom Berney¹⁴, Nadia Bolshakova¹, Sven Bölte¹⁵, Patrick F. Bolton¹⁶, Thomas Bourgeron¹⁷, Sean Brennan¹, Jessica Brian¹⁸, Andrew R. Carson³, Guillermo Casallo³, Jillian Casey⁴, Su H. Chu²⁰, Lynne Cochrane¹, Christina Corsello¹⁹, Emily L. Crawford²¹, Andrew Crossett²⁰, Geraldine Dawson^{22,23,†}, Maretha de Jonge²⁴, Richard Delorme²⁵, Irene Drmic¹⁸, Eftichia Duketis¹⁵, Frederico Duque⁹, Annette Estes²⁶, Penny Farrar⁸, Bridget A. Fernandez³¹, Susan E. Folstein³², Eric Fombonne³³, Christine M. Freitag^{15,†}, John Gilbert³², Christopher Gillberg³⁴, Joseph T. Glessner³⁵, Jeremy Goldberg³⁶, Jonathan Green³⁷, Stephen J. Guter³⁸, Hakon Hakonarson^{35,39,†}, Elizabeth A. Heron¹, Matthew Hill¹, Richard Holt⁸, Jennifer L. Howe³, Gillian Hughes¹, Vanessa Hus¹⁹, Roberta Iglizzi¹³, Cecilia Kim³⁵, Sabine M. Klauck^{40,†}, Alexander Kolevzon⁴¹, Olena Korvatska²⁷, Vlad Kustanovich⁴², Clara M. Lajonchere⁴², Janine A. Lamb⁴³, Magdalena Laskawiec¹¹, Marion Leboyer⁴⁴, Ann Le Couteur¹⁴, Bennett L. Leventhal^{45,46}, Anath C. Lionel³, Xiao-Qing Liu³, Catherine Lord¹⁹, Linda Lotspeich⁴⁷, Sabata C. Lund²¹, Elena Maestrini^{10,†}, William Mahoney⁴⁸, Carine Mantoulan⁵⁹, Christian R. Marshall³, Helen McConachie¹⁴, Christopher J. McDougle⁴⁹, Jane McGrath¹, William M. McMahon^{50,†}, Nadine M. Melhem², Alison Merikangas¹, Ohsuke Migita³, Nancy J. Minshew^{51,52}, Ghazala K. Mirza⁸, Jeff Munson²⁸, Stanley F. Nelson^{53,†}, Carolyn Noakes¹⁸, Abdul Noor⁵⁴, Gudrun Nygren³⁴, Guiomar Oliveira^{9,†}, Katerina Papanikolaou⁵⁵, Jeremy R. Parr⁵⁶, Barbara Parrini¹³, Tara Paton³, Andrew Pickles⁵⁷, Joseph Piven^{58,†}, David J Posey⁴⁹, Annemarie Poustka^{40,‡}, Fritz Poustka¹⁵, Aparna Prasad³, Jiannis Ragoussis⁸, Katy Renshaw¹¹, Jessica Rickaby³, Wendy Roberts¹⁸, Kathryn Roeder²⁰, Bernadette Roge⁵⁹, Michael L. Rutter⁶⁰, Laura J. Bierut⁶¹, John P. Rice⁶¹, Jeff Salt³⁸, Katherine Sansom³, Daisuke Sato³, Ricardo Segurado¹, Lili Senman¹⁸, Naisha Shah⁴, Val C. Sheffield⁶², Latha Soorya⁴¹, Inês Sousa⁸, Vera Stoppioni⁶³, Christina Strawbridge³⁶, Raffaella Tancredi¹³, Katherine Tansey¹, Bhooma Thiruvahindrapduram³, Ann P. Thompson³⁶, Susanne Thomson²¹, Ana Tryfon⁴¹, John Tsiantis⁵⁵, Herman Van Engeland²⁴, John B. Vincent⁵⁴, Fred Volkmar⁶⁴, Simon Wallace¹¹, Kai Wang³⁵, Zhouzhi Wang³, Thomas H. Wassink^{65,†}, Kirsty Wing⁸, Kerstin Wittmeyer⁵⁹, Shawn Wood², Brian L. Yaspan²¹, Danielle Zurawiecki⁴¹, Lonnie Zwaigenbaum⁶⁶, Catalina Betancur^{67,†}, Joseph D. Buxbaum^{41,†}, Rita M. Cantor^{53,†}, Edwin H. Cook^{38,†}

[†]Lead AGP investigators who contributed equally to this project.

[‡]Deceased.

© The Author 2010. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/2.5>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hilary Coon^{50,†}, Michael L. Cuccaro³², Louise Gallagher^{1,†}, Daniel H. Geschwind^{7,†}, Michael Gill^{1,†}, Jonathan L. Haines^{68,†}, Judith Miller⁵⁰, Anthony P. Monaco^{8,†}, John I. Nurnberger Jr.^{49,†}, Andrew D. Paterson^{3,†}, Margaret A. Pericak-Vance^{32,†}, Gerard D. Schellenberg^{69,†}, Stephen W. Scherer^{3,†}, James S. Sutcliffe^{21,†}, Peter Szatmari^{36,†}, Astrid M. Vicente^{5,6,†}, Veronica J. Vieland^{70,†}, Ellen M. Wijsman^{29,30,†}, Bernie Devlin^{2,*,†}, Sean Ennis^{4,†} and Joachim Hallmayer^{47,†}

¹Autism Genetics Group, Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Trinity College, Dublin 8, Ireland, ²Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15232, USA, ³The Centre for Applied Genomics and Program in Genetics and Genomic Biology, The Hospital for Sick Children and Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, ON M5G 1L7, Canada, ⁴School of Medicine and Medical Science University College, Dublin 4, Ireland, ⁵Instituto Nacional de Saude Dr Ricardo Jorge and Instituto Gulbenkian de Ciência, 1649-016 Lisbon, Portugal, ⁶BioFIG—Center for Biodiversity, Functional & Integrative Genomics, Campus da FCUL, C2.2.12, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal, ⁷Department of Neurology, University of California at Los Angeles, School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA, ⁸Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7BN, UK, ⁹Hospital Pediátrico de Coimbra, 3000–076, Coimbra, Portugal, ¹⁰Department of Biology, University of Bologna, 40126 Bologna, Italy, ¹¹Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Warneford Hospital, Headington, Oxford OX3 7JX, UK, ¹²Newcomen Centre, Guy's Hospital, London SE1 9RT, UK, ¹³Stella Maris Institute for Child and Adolescent Neuropsychiatry, 56128 Calambrone (Pisa), Italy, ¹⁴Child and Adolescent Mental Health, University of Newcastle, Sir James Spence Institute, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE1 4LP, UK, ¹⁵Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, J.W. Goethe University Frankfurt, 60528 Frankfurt, Germany, ¹⁶Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, London SE5 8AF, UK, ¹⁷Human Genetics and Cognitive Functions, Institut Pasteur, University Paris Diderot-Paris 7, CNRS URA 2182, Fondation FondaMental, 75015 Paris, France, ¹⁸Autism Research Unit, The Hospital for Sick Children and Bloorview Kids Rehabilitation, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5G 1Z8, Canada, ¹⁹Autism and Communicative Disorders Centre, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA, ²⁰Department of Statistics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA, ²¹Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Vanderbilt Kennedy Center, and Centers for Human Genetics Research and Molecular Neuroscience, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37232, USA, ²²Autism Speaks, New York, NY 10016, USA, ²³Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599, USA, ²⁴Department of Child Psychiatry, University Medical Center, Utrecht 3508 GA, The Netherlands, ²⁵APHP, Hôpital Robert Debré, Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 75019 Paris, France, ²⁶Department of Speech and Hearing Sciences, ²⁷Department of Medicine, ²⁸Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, ²⁹Department of Biostatistics and ³⁰Department of Medicine, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA, ³¹Disciplines of Genetics and Medicine, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St John's, NL A1B 3V6, Canada, ³²The John P. Hussman Institute for Human Genomics, University of Miami, Miami, FL 33101, USA, ³³Division of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 1A1, Canada, ³⁴Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Göteborg University Göteborg, Göteborg S41345, Sweden, ³⁵The Center for Applied Genomics, Division of Human Genetics, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA, ³⁶Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Neurosciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON L8N 3Z5, Canada, ³⁷Academic Department of Child Psychiatry, Booth Hall of Children's Hospital, Blackley, Manchester M9 7AA, UK, ³⁸Institute for Juvenile Research, Department of Psychiatry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60608, USA, ³⁹Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA, ⁴⁰Division of Molecular Genome Analysis, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg 69120, Germany, ⁴¹The Seaver Autism Center for Research and Treatment, Department of Psychiatry, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, New York 10029, USA, ⁴²Autism Genetic Resource Exchange, Autism Speaks, Los Angeles, CA 90036-4234, USA, ⁴³Centre for Integrated Genomic Medical Research, University of Manchester, Manchester

*To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, 3811 O'Hara St, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA. Tel: +1 4122466642; Fax: +1 4122466640; Email: devlinbj@upmc.edu

†Lead AGP investigators who contributed equally to this project.

M13 9PT, UK, ⁴⁴INSERM U995, Department of Psychiatry, Groupe hospitalier Henri Mondor-Albert Chenevier, AP-HP, University Paris 12, Fondation FondaMental, Créteil 94000, France, ⁴⁵Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research (NKI), 140 Old Orangeburg Road, Orangeburg, NY 10962, USA, ⁴⁶Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, New York University and NYU Child Study Center, 550 First Avenue, New York, NY 10016, USA, ⁴⁷Department of Psychiatry, Division of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Child Development, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94304, USA, ⁴⁸Department of Pediatrics, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON L8N 3Z5, Canada, ⁴⁹Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA, ⁵⁰Psychiatry Department, University of Utah Medical School, Salt Lake City, UT 84108, USA, ⁵¹Department of Psychiatry and ⁵²Department of Neurology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, USA, ⁵³Department of Human Genetics, University of California at Los Angeles School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA, ⁵⁴Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Clarke Institute and Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5G 1X8, Canada, ⁵⁵University Department of Child Psychiatry, Athens University, Medical School, Agia Sophia Children's Hospital, 115 27 Athens, Greece, ⁵⁶Institutes of Neuroscience and Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle Upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK, ⁵⁷Department of Medicine, School of Epidemiology and Health Science, University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PT, UK, ⁵⁸Carolina Institute for Developmental Disabilities, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3366, USA, ⁵⁹Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches en Psychopathologie, University de Toulouse Le Mirail, Toulouse 31200, France, ⁶⁰Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, London SE5 8AF, UK, ⁶¹Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in St Louis, School of Medicine, St Louis, MO 63130, USA, ⁶²Department of Pediatrics and Howard Hughes Medical Institute Carver College of Medicine, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA, ⁶³Neuropsychiatria Infantile, Ospedale Santa Croce, 61032 Fano, Italy, ⁶⁴Child Study Centre, Yale University, New Haven, CT 06520, USA, ⁶⁵Department of Psychiatry, Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA, ⁶⁶Department of Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AL T6G 2J3, Canada, ⁶⁷INSERM U952 and CNRS UMR 7224 and UPMC Univ Paris 06, Paris 75005, France, ⁶⁸Center for Human Genetics Research, Vanderbilt University Medical Centre, Nashville, TN 37232, USA, ⁶⁹Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA and ⁷⁰Battelle Center for Mathematical Medicine, The Research Institute at Nationwide Children's Hospital and The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43205, USA

Received April 8, 2010; Revised July 2, 2010; Accepted July 16, 2010

Although autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) have a substantial genetic basis, most of the known genetic risk has been traced to rare variants, principally copy number variants (CNVs). To identify common risk variation, the Autism Genome Project (AGP) Consortium genotyped 1558 rigorously defined ASD families for 1 million single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and analyzed these SNP genotypes for association with ASD. In one of four primary association analyses, the association signal for marker rs4141463, located within *MACROD2*, crossed the genome-wide association significance threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. When a smaller replication sample was analyzed, the risk allele at rs4141463 was again over-transmitted; yet, consistent with the winner's curse, its effect size in the replication sample was much smaller; and, for the combined samples, the association signal barely fell below the $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ threshold. Exploratory analyses of phenotypic subtypes yielded no significant associations after correction for multiple testing. They did, however, yield strong signals within several genes, *KIAA0564*, *PLD5*, *POU6F2*, *ST8SIA2* and *TAF1C*.

INTRODUCTION

A portion of the genetic roots of autism trace to rare *de novo* and inherited copy number variants (CNVs), many of which hit genes that encode proteins affecting neuronal development, especially formation of synapses (1). These findings make sense in the context of autism, a neurodevelopmental disorder arising in childhood that is characterized by impairments in

social communication and a pattern of repetitive behavior and restricted interests (2,3).

Autism, the prototypical autism spectrum disorder (ASD), is diagnosed in ~15–20 per 10 000 people (4). The broader ASD category affects at least 60 in 10 000 children (5), but may be as high as 100 in 10 000 (6). Consistent with substantial heritability of ASD, risk to siblings of a proband with autism is 5–10%, substantially higher than population

prevalence (7). A spectrum of severity is plausible due to the distribution of milder phenotypes in relatives of probands (8,9).

As yet, however, only rare *de novo* and inherited variants are soundly established genetic risk factors for ASD, and thus far these only account for a small proportion of the total genetic risk. Autism is a possible manifestation of single-gene disorders, such as those due to mutations in *FMRI*, *TSC1*, *TSC2*, *MECP2* and *PTEN*. Some chromosomal rearrangements appear causal, with the most common being maternal duplication of 15q11–q13. Mutations of high penetrance for ASD have been identified in synaptic genes, including *NLGN3*, *NLGN4X* and *SHANK3* (10,11). Rare deletion CNVs of *SHANK3* and the surrounding 22q13.33 region have also been found in individuals with an ASD. In this regard, genome-wide microarray studies have implicated a substantial number of other individually rare submicroscopic CNV loci, including hemizygous deletions and duplications of 16p11.2, *NRXN1* and *PTCHD1* (12–18).

These microscopic and submicroscopic CNVs are presumed to have a major and sometimes causal impact on risk for ASD. In contrast, common variants rarely have such an impact on risk for any disorder, especially one like ASD that is known to diminish reproductive success. Nonetheless, even if a common variant has only a small impact on individual risk, its population attributable risk could be substantial because it is carried by many individuals. To date, studies identifying common variants affecting ASD risk have met with limited success. In addition to candidate-gene association studies, in which some genes garner supporting evidence (19), genome-wide association (GWA) studies have highlighted two ASD risk loci: 5p14.1, between the neuronal cadherin loci *CDH9* and *CDH10* (20), and 5p15.2, between the semaphorin (*SEMA5A*) and bitter taste receptor (*TAS2R1*) genes (21).

To search for additional common variation contributing to ASD susceptibility, the AGP conducted high-resolution genotyping to examine >1500 families. Our principal GWA analysis uses an additive model and our principal partitions of the data split along two axes: all ancestry versus European; and inclusive spectrum versus strict diagnostic groups. In exploratory analyses, we used additional phenotypic dimensions of ASD to localize susceptibility loci.

RESULTS

ASD families and genotyping

The AGP Consortium, which represents more than 50 centers in North America and Europe, collected data from 1558 ASD families (4712 subjects) for this study (Supplementary Material, Table S1). Both Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised, ADI-R (2), and Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, ADOS (3), were used for research diagnostic classification. Nested research classification of subjects into 'strict' or 'spectrum' (i.e. encompasses strict) was developed based on ADI-R and ADOS classification. Subjects with known karyotypic abnormalities, fragile X mutations or other genetic disorders were excluded. Genotyping was performed by using the Illumina Human 1M-single Infinium BeadChip array. A total of 1369 ASD families comprising 1385 ASD pro-

Table 1. Number of families (number of probands) used for analysis

Group	AGP Discovery	AGRE	Combined
Primary analysis			
Spc All	1369 (1385)	595 (1086)	1887 (2394)
Str All	809 (812)	431 (687)	1181 (1440)
Spc Eur	1217 (1230)	440 (783)	1603 (1959)
Str Eur	718 (720)	311 (485)	984 (1160)
Exploratory analyses			
Spc Verbal	897 (909)	476 (702)	1314 (1552)
Spc Non-Verbal	453 (454)	295 (375)	731 (812)
IQ > 80	561 (564)	— ^a	—
IQ < 70	279 (281)	—	—

Spc, spectrum; Str, strict; All, all ancestries; Eur, European ancestry.

^aWe could not derive an assessment of IQ for the AGRE data that would be comparable to that from the AGP.

bands (Table 1) passed quality control (QC) filters (Supplementary Material, Table S1). Counting up to third-degree relatives in the 1369 families, 43.6% had two or more ASD children (multiplex), 42.4% had one affected child (simplex) and 14% were unknown (extended family not evaluated); note, however, that we typically genotyped only one proband per family, as well as parents, even if the family were multiplex. Proband distribution by gender was 84% male and 16% female; 58.6% attained a strict research diagnosis of autism; and, based on genetic analysis, 88% of subjects were of European ancestry (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1).

Genome-wide SNP association: primary analyses

A priori we planned and conducted four nonindependent GWA analyses corresponding to data partitions along axes of diagnosis and ancestry: spectrum versus strict and European versus all ancestries (Table 2, Supplementary Material, Table S1–3, Fig. S2). Q–Q plots (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3) show that the distributions of observed test statistics are only modestly different from their expected distributions under the null hypothesis of no association. Largest associations arise in a 300 kb intronic region of *MACROD2* for the most homogeneous samples, strict diagnosis and European ancestry (Fig. 1 and Table 2). The most noteworthy association occurs at rs4141463, $P = 2.1 \times 10^{-8}$, which falls below a commonly used GWA significance threshold of 5×10^{-8} .

We sought support for the results obtained from the primary analyses by two approaches. First, we analyze independent ASD families from the Autism Genetics Resource Exchange (AGRE) database, combining AGP trios with AGRE simplex/multiplex families to perform a 'mega-analysis' in 2179 families for the four primary analyses. This 'mega-analysis', performed on all markers, did not add much additional support (Table 2), in terms of more significant association signals identified at the discovery phase, and no new loci emerged for their association with ASD (see Supplementary Material). For example, at rs4141463 (in *MACROD2*), the estimated odds ratio changed from 0.56 to 0.65 for the strict diagnosis (Table 2), European ancestry, resulting in a P -value of 4.7×10^{-8} . An observation merits consideration for this and related results. Although the common allele is over-transmitted in both the original and AGRE data sets, the differential transmission as measured by

Table 2. Results from primary analyses of AGP Discovery, AGRE, and SAGE data sets

Features	SNPs associated in discovery set ^a									
	rs6731562, 2q31.1	rs10258862, 7p14.1	rs6557675, 8p21.3	rs4078417, 14q22.1	rs7142002, 14q32.31	rs17284809, 16p13.11	rs205409, 16p11.2	rs4150167^b , 16q24.1	rs4141463^c , 20p12.1	
Gene	<i>HAT1</i>	<i>POU6F2</i>	–	–	PPP2R5C	MYH11	GSG1L	TAF1C	MACROD2	
Minor allele frequency	0.34 (G)	0.33 (G)	0.31 (A)	0.34 (C)	0.06 (G)	0.04 (A)	0.43 (G)	0.02 (A)	0.43 (A)	
Group	Str Eur	Spc All	Str All	Spc Eur	Spc Eur	Spc All	Spc Eur	Spc Eur	Str Eur	
AGP discovery	OR ^d 95% CI P	1.64 1.23–1.61 3.7×10^{-6}	0.61 0.51–0.72 2.2×10^{-7}	1.43 1.25–1.64 4.8×10^{-6}	0.54 0.41–0.70 1.9×10^{-6}	0.52 0.39–0.69 1.7×10^{-6}	0.69 0.60–0.79 1.1×10^{-6}	0.38 0.24–0.58 1.0×10^{-6}	0.56 0.47–0.67 2.1×10^{-8}	
AGRE	OR 95% CI P	1.08 0.86–1.37 5.2×10^{-1}	0.85 0.73–0.99 4.6×10^{-2}	1.00 0.83–1.22 1.0×10^{-0}	1.13 0.95–1.34 1.8×10^{-1}	0.73 0.52–1.02 4.1×10^{-2}	0.88 0.41–1.88 7.1×10^{-1}	0.71 1.03–1.43 2.9×10^{-2}	0.84 0.67–1.04 1.3×10^{-1}	
AGP + AGRE	OR 95% CI P	1.38 1.19–1.61 8.5×10^{-5}	1.13 1.03–1.25 2.3×10^{-2}	0.77 0.68–0.88 2.3×10^{-4}	1.29 1.16–1.44 2.7×10^{-5}	0.62 0.50–0.76 2.1×10^{-6}	0.56 0.43–0.72 4.2×10^{-6}	0.86 0.77–0.95 9.3×10^{-3}	0.48 0.35–0.66 7.0×10^{-7}	0.65 0.57–0.75 4.7×10^{-8}
AGP + SAGE	OR 95% CI P	1.32 1.15–1.52 8.8×10^{-5}	1.24 1.12–1.38 3.8×10^{-5}	0.76 0.66–0.87 8.5×10^{-5}	1.24 1.11–1.38 7.5×10^{-5}	0.59 0.47–0.75 7.5×10^{-6}	0.59 0.46–0.76 1.4×10^{-5}	0.80 0.73–0.89 2.6×10^{-5}	0.45 0.31–0.66 1.7×10^{-5}	0.69 0.60–0.79 8.1×10^{-8}
AGP + AGRE + SAGE	OR 95% CI P	1.25 1.11–1.41 2.0×10^{-4}	1.09 1.00–1.18 4.6×10^{-2}	0.84 0.76–0.93 1.0×10^{-3}	1.19 1.10–1.30 5.6×10^{-5}	0.64 0.53–0.78 2.9×10^{-6}	0.63 0.50–0.79 5.7×10^{-5}	0.91 0.84–0.99 2.8×10^{-2}	0.54 0.40–0.73 2.1×10^{-5}	0.73 0.66–0.82 3.7×10^{-8}

Spc, spectrum; Str, strict; All, all ancestries; Eur, European ancestry.

^aReporting restricted to SNPs with statistics meeting or falling below the threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-6}$. See Supplementary Material for description of all SNPs with statistics meeting or falling below the threshold of $P \leq 5 \times 10^{-4}$.

^bBold emphasis denotes SNPs discussed.

^cTop association signal reported only; additional signal at reporting threshold for markers in strong LD include rs6079536, rs6079537, rs6079540, rs6079544, rs6074787, rs10446030, rs6079553, rs4814324, rs6074798, rs980319 (Supplementary Material, Table S2).

^dOdds ratio based on the minor allele.




Figure 1. Association results, presented as the $-\log(\text{base } 10)$ of the P -values, for an intronic region of *MACROD2* (20p12.1). The panels show the combinations of two diagnostic levels, strict versus spectrum and any versus European ancestry of the subjects. Recombination rates were calculated using Release 22 of the HapMap CEU Panel.

the odds ratio is notably smaller for the latter, a result that is consistent with the winner’s curse (22). Thus, if rs4141463 truly confers risk, the estimate from the AGRE data is a more realistic estimate of risk.

We also combined the results from the family-based analysis with allele frequencies from control data from the Study on Addiction: Genetics and Environment (SAGE), also genotyped with the Illumina Human 1M-single Infinium BeadChip

(23). Combining the AGP family-based transmission data with control data also yielded no new loci (see Supplementary Material). The peak association for *MACROD2* remained at rs4141463 (Table 2 and Supplementary Material), but the *P*-value for association increased to 8.1×10^{-8} (strict diagnosis, European ancestry). For the loci identified by primary analyses of AGP data, the AGP, AGRE and control data taken together (Table 2) had little effect on the significance level for rs4141463 ($P = 3.7 \times 10^{-8}$ for strict diagnosis, European ancestry). In fact, the combined AGP, AGRE and control analyses showed similar results to those from the combined AGP and AGRE analysis (see Table 2), with the exception of rs4150167; the *P*-value for this SNP rises to 2.1×10^{-5} . Looking over the entire genome, analysis of the combined data did not reveal compelling new loci (see Supplementary Material).

Genome-wide SNP association: exploratory analyses

To examine whether greater phenotypic homogeneity within ASD could help identify common risk variants, we performed a number of exploratory analyses examining specific sub-groups of the ASD sample. In this study, we report in detail two categorical variables: verbal status and IQ; see Methods for description of exploratory categories. We also evaluated parental origin effects through parental transmission. Sample sizes are given in Table 1; results are given in Supplementary Material, Table S4. None of our exploratory analyses detected association below the threshold of 5×10^{-8} in the AGP discovery sample alone. We do observe signals that are close to the threshold ($P < 1 \times 10^{-7}$, chosen strictly for heuristic purposes) in the discovery sample in *PLD5*, *POU6F2* and an intergenic region on 8p21.3. Moreover, in a combined analysis of the AGP and AGRE data, we observe three associations that cross the *P*-value threshold: for verbal individuals, for SNPs rs3784730 (in *ST8SLA2*) and rs2196826 (in *PLD5*); and, for maternal parent of origin, rs9532931 (in a gene for an uncharacterized predicted protein KIAA0564). Importantly, these findings would not be significant after correction for multiple testing of diagnostic groups and sub-phenotypes. A summary of all association signals at $P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$ in the exploratory analyses are detailed in Supplementary Material, Tables S3 and S4; see Supplementary Material for results for SNPs with association ($P \leq 5 \times 10^{-4}$).

Level of function, as measured by IQ, has been assumed to be a major source of etiological heterogeneity for autism. When we explored the impact of IQ on GWA results by splitting the sample by probands with IQ >80 and those with IQ <70, no *P*-value exceeded the threshold for GWA significance and none met criterion $P < 1 \times 10^{-6}$.

Genome-wide SNP association: candidate loci

We compared our data with replicated candidate-gene studies, which were derived from (19), as well as the recent GWA reports that implicated intergenic intervals at the 5p14.1 *CDH9-CDH10* and 5p15.2 *SEMA5A-TAS2R1* loci, respectively (20,21,24) (Supplementary Material, Tables S5 and S6). Because the estimated effect sizes for these studies typically fall in the range of 1.1–1.3, our power to replicate these findings was low (Supplementary Material, Fig. S4) and some of the prior

candidate-gene studies made use of markers not well tagged by SNPs in our study. We found some support for several prior candidate loci, including *CNTNAP2*, *RELN* and *SLC25A12* ($P < 10^{-4}$), but our analysis did not garner additional evidence for either of the top findings from the prior GWA studies.

DISCUSSION

After testing ~1 million SNPs for association with ASD, we identified in one of our set of four primary analyses one SNP, rs4141463, in *MACROD2* crossing a preset threshold of $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$. Three other SNPs crossed this threshold in the context of exploratory analyses, making their interpretation more difficult due to multiple testing. All of these results spring from a relatively small sample size for GWA studies ($n \leq 1369$ families), limiting both our power to detect association and the certainty of the associations detected. Unbiased estimates of odds ratios detected by GWA studies are typically in the range of 1.1–1.3; to have good power to detect such effect sizes requires many thousands of samples, which is beyond the reach of the autism genetics community at the moment. This issue could at least partially explain why most genomic regions with prior evidence of SNP associations for ASD risk garner little support from our data (Supplementary Material, Table S6). Moreover, the winner's curse and shrinkage to the mean (22,25,26) could explain the smaller odds ratios that we estimated from the replication data (Table 2).

Keeping these caveats in mind, several results from our study are potentially relevant to autism risk. The function of *MACROD2* (previously c20orf133) is largely unknown. The protein contains a MACRO domain which is a high-affinity ADP-ribose-binding domain that is important in multiple biological processes. Recent genome-wide studies have highlighted copy number variation at *MACROD2* in an individual with schizophrenia (27), brain infarct (28) and brain volume in multiple sclerosis (29). Also ~500 kb from the association signal observed for ASD is *FLRT3*, which is embedded in *MACROD2*. *FLRT3* is a cell adhesion molecule with functions in neuronal development.

It is interesting to consider that, while rs4141463 falls in a *MACROD2* intron, the precise location could be irrelevant to its possible functional impact on ASD risk. Recent evidence (30), yet to be corroborated, suggests that this SNP or one of many correlated SNPs in this region (Fig. 1) acts to regulate expression of *PLD2*. The observation becomes more noteworthy in light of the fact that our exploratory analyses also identify *PLD5* as another locus possibly associated with autism. *PLD* proteins could play an important role in risk for autism. The protein derived from *PLD2* has been shown to regulate axonal outgrowth (31) and metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling (32).

A second association signal of interest from the primary analyses (Table 2 and Supplementary Material, Table S2) involves a missense variation in the *TAF1C* gene (rs4150167; G523R; $P = 1.0 \times 10^{-6}$). *TAF1C* (TATA box-binding protein-associated factor 1C) is involved in the initiation of transcription by RNA polymerase I. This process requires the formation of a complex composed of the TATA-binding protein (TBP) and three TBP-associated factors (TAFs) specific for

RNA polymerase I. TAF1C and its complex are displaced by PTEN (33). Mutations in *PTEN* have been highlighted in a number of cases of autism and related disorders (34–39). A caveat about the data for this SNP is worth noting: visual inspection revealed typical genotype clusters, yet the relatively common allele (≈ 0.98) is over-transmitted, a pattern consistent with poor genotyping quality.

From the exploratory analyses (Supplementary Material, Table S3), we identify a number of loci as having noteworthy association. One of the most appealing genes for risk for autism is *ST8SIA2*, coding for a protein expressed very highly throughout the mammalian brain (expression level or density >90 for 14 out of 17 brain regions assessed in the Allen Brain Atlas, <http://www.brain-map.org/>). Mice without polysialyltransferases ST8SiaII and ST8SiaIV, which modify neural cell adhesion molecule (*NCAM1*), show malformations of major brain axon tracts (40). Loss of either ST8 protein alone results in milder phenotypes. Inactivation of ST8SiaII in mice alters axonal targeting, involving hippocampal infra-pyramidal mossy fibers, and the mice show increased exploration and diminished fear (40,41), behaviors of potential relevance to autism. Learning and memory, mediated through morphological synaptic plasticity, are also critically dependent on NCAM polysialylation status but in a complex way (42). Further studies are needed to determine the relevance of these neurodevelopmental results to the genetics of autism and identify the genetic variation affecting expression or function of *ST8SIA2*. With regard to genetic variation, in addition to the results found in our study, variation in *ST8SIA2* has been associated with risk for schizophrenia in Asian populations (43,44).

While we and others (20,21) find limited evidence that common alleles affect risk for autism, the number of families studied is still relatively small. Our findings appear to rule out a common allele increasing relative risk by 2-fold or more. Much larger samples will be required to detect subtle effects on relative risk (e.g. 1.2), which is more typical of risk loci for common diseases. With such low relative risk, replication of true positive findings is further complicated by chance findings, as well as differences in ascertainment. These challenges are not unique to common variants. The same challenges are faced when searching for rare sequence mutations and CNVs affecting risk for ASD. Moreover, our ultimate goal is to integrate results across the range of rare to common variation, thereby describing the genetic architecture of autism. This will require larger cohorts comprised of individuals exhibiting the relatively stringent ASD phenotype of this study, as well as an unselected group more representative of the general ASD population, both being examined at the highest resolution for CNVs, rare sequence variation and common alleles. The heterogeneity of ASD will continue to complicate ameliorative opportunities; however, the identification of risk variants could reveal target gene pathways amenable for therapeutic intervention.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection and ascertainment

Diagnostic classes. For these analyses, we primarily grouped families into two nested diagnostic classes (strict and spectrum

ASD) based on proband diagnostic measures (45). To qualify for the strict class, affected individuals met the criteria for autism on both primary diagnostic instruments, the ADI-R (2) and the ADOS (3). In addition to individuals meeting criteria for autism, a spectrum class included all individuals who were classified as ASD on both the ADI-R and ADOS or who were not evaluated on one of the instruments but were diagnosed with autism on the other instrument.

AGRE cohort. One additional family-based autism cohort was evaluated in this study. The AGRE sample consists of families in which a proband and often one or more siblings are diagnosed with ASD (46). A total of 595 families (1086 probands) that were shown to be independent of the AGP sample were identified for replication.

SAGE control cohort. A control group, namely subjects from the Study on Addiction: Genetics and Environment (SAGE), was chosen because it was genotyped with Illumina Human 1M-single Infinium BeadChip (23). This cohort consisted of 1965 control subjects (from the larger SAGE case–control study). The consented sample included 31% males and 69% females, with mean age of 39.2 (SD 9.1), and 73% subjects self-identified as European-American (Caucasian), 26% as African-American and 1% as other (http://zork.wustl.edu/gei/study_description.htm). Both raw intensities and genotypes were available through NHGRI–dbGaP (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?study_id=phs000092.v1.p1). The SAGE control subjects have had exposure to alcohol (and possibly to other drugs), but did not meet the criteria for any illicit drug dependence.

Genotyping. Samples were genotyped using the Illumina Human 1M-single Infinium BeadChip. We performed stringent, uniform QC procedures on the resulting data. The Illumina Human 1M-single Infinium BeadChip contains a total of 1 072 820 markers (50-mer probes) for SNP and CNV analyses. Samples were processed using the manufacturer's recommended protocol with no modifications for Infinium II arrays, and BeadChips were scanned on the Illumina BeadArray Reader using default settings. Analysis and intra-chip normalization were performed using Illumina's BeadStudio software v.3.3.7, with a GenCall cutoff of 0.1. Built-in controls, both sample independent (including staining controls, extension controls, target removal controls and hybridization controls) and sample dependent (including stringency controls, nonspecific binding controls and nonpolymorphic controls), were inspected to assess the quality of the experiment. For genotype calling, we followed the manufacturer's protocols and used technical controls. Trios consisting of an affected offspring and both parents were genotyped, and in total genotyping was completed for 4683 individuals from 1558 families. For the control sample, 1880 individuals were genotyped on the Illumina Human 1M-single Infinium BeadChip, as described elsewhere (23).

The AGRE sample was genotyped on the Illumina HapMap550 array (20), which yields genotypes for roughly 550 000 SNPs of the 1 million contained on the 1M chip. To make these data comparable with the 1M platform, we inferred the missing SNP genotypes by using three sources

of information: haplotypes called from trios genotyped on the 1M, haplotypes called from the HapMap550 genotypes and a small set of 105 samples that were genotyped on both platforms and yielded high-quality genotypes. This smaller set of overlapping samples was used to evaluate the accuracy of inferred genotypes. We used Beagle 3.0.1 to call haplotypes and infer missing genotypes (47). Because Beagle 3.0.1 allows only families with a single offspring, we created trios from multiplex families; inferred missing genotypes; then, after putting family data back together, resolved inconsistencies when possible and 'zeroed' inconsistencies otherwise. Using the 105 samples genotyped on both platforms, we assessed imputation accuracy; imputed genotypes for an SNP were retained only when none of the called genotypes were discrepant with the 1M genotypes. Following this QC process, each sample from the AGRE data set contained genotypes from the HapMap550 and 248 642 additional imputed genotypes for subsequent analysis.

Association analysis

Genetic QC for association analysis. As a first QC step prior to GWA analysis, probands from 80 families were removed because they either carried chromosomal abnormalities, exhibited chromosomal cell line artifacts or, based on literature reports, had highly penetrant ASD CNVs. In broad outline, subsequent QC for association analyses was performed at family and individual levels, followed by QC for individual SNPs.

We first assessed gender miscalls based on X chromosome genotypes and allele calls for Y, adjusting gender when appropriate (e.g. miscoding) and dropping samples (e.g. Klinefelter syndrome) or genotypes (e.g. loss of X in cell line) from the X chromosome. We searched the database for duplicate samples using a subset of 5254 SNPs that were independent and had a >99.9% completion rate for genotypes at this QC stage. Duplicates from four families were removed. Data were subsequently checked for Mendelian errors, and 19 families with large numbers of errors were removed from the analysis. In all other cases of Mendelian inheritance errors, the SNPs were set to missing in the family exhibiting the error. The fraction of complete genotypes per individual was required to be $\geq 95\%$ over the autosomes; 27 samples fell below this criterion. Following this QC step, 4304 genotyped individuals were retained for 1445 pedigrees.

We then removed monomorphic SNPs or those with a genotyping completion rate <95%. After this step, 991 221 SNPs were retained. We note that using a genotyping completion rate of 95% or more allows some SNPs of poor genotyping quality to enter the analysis; the alternative is to use a more stringent completion rate, such as 99%, which has the advantage of removing low-quality SNPs at the cost of removing some high-quality SNPs. Recognizing the tradeoff, we chose to use the less stringent criterion for association analysis, and follow up SNPs with small *P*-values, by manual inspection of genotype clusters. However, for the figures in the manuscript, we use the more stringent criterion for genotyping completion rate, which more accurately reflects the final results after manual inspection of genotype clusters.

Ancestry was then determined for the proband by using 5239 widely spaced, independent SNPs that had a genotype completion rate of $\geq 99.9\%$. The software used was Spectral-GEM (48), which estimated five significant dimensions of ancestry (Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Subsequent clustering on the dimensions of ancestry resulted in six clusters: three clusters of European ancestry, with $n = 824$, 353 and 87; and three clusters reflecting other major ancestral groups, with $n = 68$, 54 and 35 (e.g. African and Asian); see also Supplementary Material, Figure S1. The major European cluster ($n = 824$) was used to determine minor allele frequencies (MAFs), to evaluate Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and Wright's *F*_{st} among the genotyping sites. (Note, however, that all three European clusters were used for the ultimate association analyses of this ancestry.) Specific SNPs were eliminated on the basis of the genotypes in this homogeneous European cluster for the following reasons: 5499 were monomorphic; 2102 for completion rate <95%; 132 894 for MAF <0.01; 7734 for HWE $P < 0.005$; and 89 for *F*_{st} > 0.02. Following this QC step, there were 842 348 SNPs available for association analysis. In this final SNP-based edit, six more individuals had a genotype completion rate of <95%. Merging diagnostic information with genotype information to determine informative families yielded 1369 families with complete genotype data for parents and offspring; with at least one genotyped offspring carrying an ASD diagnosis (16 families had more than one genotyped, affected child).

Genetic association analyses. Family-based analyses were first performed using FBAT, which allows for rapid calculation of statistics under additive, dominant and recessive genetic models. We do not present, here, the results for the dominant or recessive models because they did not contribute meaningfully to the results. However, to implement more flexible analyses, such as parent-of-origin analyses, we also used an in-house program written for family-based association (49) that implements methods described by Cordell *et al.* (50). Comparisons of the in-house program to FBAT results, when appropriate, yielded excellent agreement (unpublished data). *A priori*, we planned four principal analyses, all under the additive model: spectrum versus strict diagnosis by all ancestries versus European ancestry. These four analyses covered the extremes of phenotype and ancestry. Numerous exploratory analyses were also performed under an additive genetic model: parent of origin analysis considering paternal- and maternal-specific transmissions for both strict and spectrum diagnostic classes; and for the largest, spectrum sets, we stratified by proband's verbal/non-verbal status (51).

To determine whether the level of cognitive function, as measured by IQ, was an important covariate for heterogeneity, we split probands according to IQ into four groups: (i) those with IQ > 80; (ii) those with $80 \geq IQ \geq 70$; (iii) those with $70 > IQ > 25$; and those with $IQ \leq 25$. For GWA analyses, we used only Groups (i) and (iii), which had the largest sample size. IQ was measured in various ways by the different recruitment centers, but for our purposes we used verbal, non-verbal (performance) and full-scale IQ assessments. If an individual's score was >80 for any of these three measures, the proband was classified into the above 80 group; otherwise,

providing IQ was evaluated on at least two measures and none were ≥ 70 , the proband was classified into one of the below 70 groups. Sample sizes for principal analyses are given in Table 1.

To enhance power for GWA tests, two additional data sets were combined with the AGP data. We analyzed the AGRE data using family-based analyses and the AGRE and AGP data combined using mega-analyses. All primary analyses were performed with both data sets. We limited exploratory analyses to these nine: broad diagnostic group; verbal and non-verbal status by the diagnostic groups and by ancestry. For the primary analyses, we also analyzed two other sets of combined samples: AGP trios together with AGRE families; AGP trios together with unrelated SAGE controls; and all three data sets. The method to analyze control and family-based data (49) builds on two related ideas: matched case-control analysis using conditional logistic regression (e.g. 52) and the natural connection between family-based analysis and conditional logistic regression of alleles found in probands (the transmitted alleles) and matched pseudo-controls (formed from transmitted and un-transmitted alleles) (49). Unrelated SAGE controls were matched by genetic ancestry to probands and combined with the 'pseudo-controls' produced by the family-based analysis: first, by spectral analysis, we estimated the genetic ancestry of probands and unrelated controls (48); then, using the optimal matching algorithm, we formed genetically homogeneous strata (52), each consisting of a single proband and one or more unrelated controls. In those strata where a single control was matched with more than one proband, the control was paired with the best match in the stratum and the remaining probands each form their own stratum. Finally, within each stratum, we contrasted the genotype of the proband with the genotypes of the matched controls and pseudo-controls via conditional logistic regression.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Material is available at *HMG* online. Raw data from ASD family (Accession: phs000267.v1.p1) genotyping are at NCBI dbGAP. A file containing results for SNPs with association $P \leq 0.001$ are provided at *HMG* online for all primary and exploratory analyses.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors gratefully acknowledge the families participating in the study.

Conflict of Interest statement. None declared.

FUNDING

This research was primarily supported by Autism Speaks (USA), the Health Research Board (HRB, Ireland), The Medical Research Council (MRC; UK); Genome Canada/Ontario Genomics Institute and the Hilibrand Foundation (USA). Additional support for individual groups was provided by the US National Institutes of Health [HD055751, HD055782, HD055784, HD35465, MH52708, MH55284,

MH057881, MH061009, MH06359, MH066673, MH077930, MH080647, MH081754, MH66766, NS026630, NS042165, NS049261]; the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR), Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris (France), Autistica, Canada Foundation for Innovation/Ontario Innovation Trust, Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant: Po 255/17-4) (Germany), EC Sixth FP AUTISM MOLGEN, Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian (Portugal), Fondation de France, Fondation FondaMental (France), Fondation Orange (France), Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale (France), Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia (Portugal), GlaxoSmithKline-CIHR Pathfinder Chair (Canada), the Hospital for Sick Children Foundation and University of Toronto (Canada), INSERM (France), Institut Pasteur (France), the Italian Ministry of Health [convention 181 of 19.10.2001], the John P. Hussman Foundation (USA), McLaughlin Centre (Canada), Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research [Rubicon 825.06.031], Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation (Canada), Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences [TMF/DA/5801], the Seaver Foundation (USA), the Swedish Science Council, The Centre for Applied Genomics (Canada), the Utah Autism Foundation (USA) and the Wellcome Trust core award [075491/Z/04 UK]. We wish to acknowledge SAGE as part of this study. Funding support for the Study of Addiction: Genetics and Environment (SAGE) was provided through the NIH Genes, Environment and Health Initiative [GEI] (U01 HG004422). SAGE is one of the genome-wide association studies funded as part of the Gene Environment Association Studies (GENEVA) under GEI. Assistance with phenotype harmonization and genotype cleaning, as well as with general study coordination, was provided by the GENEVA Coordinating Center (U01 HG004446). Assistance with data cleaning was provided by the National Center for Biotechnology Information. Support for collection of datasets and samples was provided by the Collaborative Study on the Genetics of Alcoholism (COGA; U10 AA008401), the Collaborative Genetic Study of Nicotine Dependence (COGEND; P01 CA089392), and the Family Study of Cocaine Dependence (FSCD; R01 DA013423). Funding support for genotyping, which was performed at the Johns Hopkins University Center for Inherited Disease Research, was provided by the NIH GEI (U01 HG004438), the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, the National Institute on Drug Abuse, and the NIH contract 'High throughput genotyping for studying the genetic contributions to human disease' (HHSN268200782096C). Funding to pay the Open Access Charge was provided by Autism Speaks.

REFERENCES

1. Cook, E.H. Jr and Scherer, S.W. (2008) Copy-number variations associated with neuropsychiatric conditions. *Nature*, **455**, 919–923.
2. Lord, C., Rutter, M. and Couteur, A. (1994) Autism Diagnostic Interview-Revised: a revised version of a diagnostic interview for caregivers of individuals with possible pervasive developmental disorders. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.*, **24**, 659–685.
3. Lord, C., Risi, S., Lambrecht, L., Cook, E.H. Jr, Leventhal, B.L., DiLavore, P.C., Pickles, A. and Rutter, M. (2000) The autism diagnostic observation schedule-generic: a standard measure of social and

- communication deficits associated with the spectrum of autism. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.*, **30**, 205–223.
4. Fombonne, E. (2009) Epidemiology of pervasive developmental disorders. *Pediatr. Res.*, **65**, 591–598.
 5. Fernell, E. and Gillberg, C. (2010) Autism spectrum disorder diagnoses in Stockholm preschoolers. *Res. Dev. Disabil.*, **31**, 680–685.
 6. Baron-Cohen, S., Scott, F.J., Allison, C., Williams, J., Bolton, P., Matthews, F.E. and Brayne, C. (2009) Prevalence of autism-spectrum conditions: UK school-based population study. *Br. J. Psychiatry*, **194**, 500–509.
 7. Bailey, A., Le Couteur, A., Gottesman, I., Bolton, P., Simonoff, E., Yuzda, E. and Rutter, M. (1995) Autism as a strongly genetic disorder: evidence from a British twin study. *Psychol. Med.*, **25**, 63–77.
 8. Hurley, R.S., Losh, M., Parlier, M., Reznick, J.S. and Piven, J. (2007) The broad autism phenotype questionnaire. *J. Autism Dev. Disord.*, **37**, 1679–1690.
 9. Constantino, J.N. and Todd, R.D. (2005) Intergenerational transmission of subthreshold autistic traits in the general population. *Biol. Psychiatry*, **57**, 655–660.
 10. Jamain, S., Quach, H., Betancur, C., Rastam, M., Colineaux, C., Gillberg, I.C., Soderstrom, H., Giros, B., Leboyer, M., Gillberg, C. *et al.* (2003) Mutations of the X-linked genes encoding neuroligins NLGN3 and NLGN4 are associated with autism. *Nat. Genet.*, **34**, 27–29.
 11. Durand, C.M., Betancur, C., Boeckers, T.M., Bockmann, J., Chaste, P., Fauchereau, F., Nygren, G., Rastam, M., Gillberg, I.C., Anckarsäter, H. *et al.* (2006) Mutations in the gene encoding the synaptic scaffolding protein SHANK3 are associated with autism spectrum disorders. *Nat. Genet.*, **39**, 25–27.
 12. Sebat, J., Lakshmi, B., Malhotra, D., Troge, J., Lese-Martin, C., Walsh, T., Yamrom, B., Yoon, S., Krasnitz, A., Kendall, J. *et al.* (2007) Strong association of de novo copy number mutations with autism. *Science*, **316**, 445–449.
 13. Marshall, C.R., Noor, A., Vincent, J.B., Lionel, A.C., Feuk, L., Skaug, J., Shago, M., Moessner, R., Pinto, D., Ren, Y. *et al.* (2008) Structural variation of chromosomes in autism spectrum disorder. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **82**, 477–488.
 14. Weiss, L.A., Shen, Y., Korn, J.M., Arking, D.E., Miller, D.T., Fossdal, R., Saemundsen, E., Stefansson, H., Ferreira, M.A., Green, T. *et al.* (2008) Association between microdeletion and microduplication at 16p11.2 and autism. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, **358**, 667–675.
 15. Kumar, R.A., KaraMohamed, S., Sudi, J., Conrad, D.F., Brune, C., Badner, J.A., Gilliam, T.C., Nowak, N.J., Cook, E.H. Jr, Dobyns, W.B. and Christian, S.L. (2008) Recurrent 16p11.2 microdeletions in autism. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **17**, 628–638.
 16. Fernandez, B.A., Roberts, W., Chung, B., Weksberg, R., Meyn, S., Szatmari, P., Joseph-George, A.M., Mackay, S., Whitten, K., Noble, B. *et al.* (2010) Phenotypic spectrum associated with de novo and inherited deletions and duplications at 16p11.2 in individuals ascertained for diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder. *J. Med. Genet.*, **47**, 195–203.
 17. Glessner, J.T., Wang, K., Cai, G., Korvatska, O., Kim, C.E., Wood, S., Zhang, H., Estes, A., Brune, C.W., Bradfield, J.P. *et al.* (2009) Autism genome-wide copy number variation reveals ubiquitous and neuronal genes. *Nature*, **459**, 569–573.
 18. Pinto, D., Pagnamenta, A.T., Klei, L., Anney, R., Merico, D., Regan, R., Conroy, J., Magalhaes, T., Correia, C., Abrahams, B.S. *et al.* (2010) Functional impact of global rare copy number variation in autism. *Nature*, **466**, 368–372.
 19. Abrahams, B.S. and Geschwind, D.H. (2008) Advances in autism genetics: on the threshold of a new neurobiology. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, **9**, 341–355.
 20. Wang, K., Zhang, H., Ma, D., Bucan, M., Glessner, J.T., Abrahams, B.S., Salyakina, D., Imielinski, M., Bradfield, J.P., Sleiman, P.M. *et al.* (2009) Common genetic variants on 5p14.1 associate with autism spectrum disorders. *Nature*, **459**, 528–533.
 21. Weiss, L.A., Arking, D.E., Daly, M.J. and Chakravarti, A. Gene Discovery Project of Johns Hopkins & the Autism Consortium (2009) A genome-wide linkage and association scan reveals novel loci for autism. *Nature*, **461**, 802–808.
 22. Göring, H.H., Terwilliger, J.D. and Blangero, J. (2001) Large upward bias in estimation of locus-specific effects from genomewide scans. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **69**, 1357–1369.
 23. Bierut, L.J., Agrawal, A., Buchholz, K.K., Doheny, K.F., Laurie, C., Pugh, E., Fisher, S., Fox, L., Howells, W., Bertelsen, S. *et al.* (2010) A genome-wide association study of alcohol dependence. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **107**, 5082–5087.
 24. Ma, D., Salyakina, D., Jaworski, J.M., Konidari, I., Whitehead, P.L., Andersen, A.N., Hoffman, J.D., Slifer, S.H., Hedges, D.J., Cukier, H.N. *et al.* (2009) A genome-wide association study of autism reveals a common novel risk locus at 5p14.1. *Ann. Hum. Genet.*, **73**, 263–273.
 25. Zhong, H. and Prentice, R.L. (2010) Correcting ‘winner’s curse’ in odds ratios from genomewide association findings for major complex human diseases. *Genet. Epidemiol.*, **34**, 78–91.
 26. Sun, L. and Bull, S.B. (2005) Reduction of selection bias in genomewide studies by resampling. *Genet. Epidemiol.*, **28**, 352–367.
 27. Xu, B., Woodroffe, A., Rodriguez-Murillo, L., Roos, J.L., van Rensburg, E.J., Abecasis, G.R., Gogos, J.A. and Karayiorgou, M. (2009) Elucidating the genetic architecture of familial schizophrenia using rare copy number variant and linkage scans. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **106**, 16746–16751.
 28. Debette, S., Bis, J.C., Fornage, M., Schmidt, H., Ikram, M.A., Sigurdsson, S., Heiss, G., Struchalin, M., Smith, A.V., van der Lugt, A. *et al.* (2010) Genome-wide association studies of MRI-defined brain infarcts: meta-analysis from the CHARGE Consortium. *Stroke*, **41**, 210–217.
 29. Baranzini, S.E., Wang, J., Gibson, R.A., Galwey, N., Naegelin, Y., Barkhof, F., Radue, E.W., Lindberg, R.L., Uitdehaag, B.M., Johnson, M.R. *et al.* (2009) Genome-wide association analysis of susceptibility and clinical phenotype in multiple sclerosis. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **18**, 767–778.
 30. Duan, S., Huang, R.S., Zhang, W., Bleibel, W.K., Roe, C.A., Clark, T.A., Chen, T.X., Schweitzer, A.C., Blume, J.E., Cox, N.J. and Dolan, M.E. (2008) Genetic architecture of transcript-level variation in humans. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **82**, 1101–1113.
 31. Kanaho, Y., Funakoshi, Y. and Hasegawa, H. (2009) Phospholipase D signalling and its involvement in neurite outgrowth. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, **1791**, 898–904.
 32. Dhami, G.K. and Ferguson, S.S. (2006) Regulation of metabotropic glutamate receptor signaling, desensitization and endocytosis. *Pharmacol. Ther.*, **111**, 260–271.
 33. Zhang, C., Comai, L. and Johnson, D.L. (2005) PTEN represses RNA Polymerase I transcription by disrupting the SL1 complex. *Mol. Cell Biol.*, **25**, 6899–6911.
 34. Butler, M.G., Dasouki, M.J., Zhou, X.P., Talebizadeh, Z., Brown, M., Takahashi, T.N., Miles, J.H., Wang, C.H., Stratton, R., Pilarski, R. *et al.* (2005) Subset of individuals with autism spectrum disorders and extreme macrocephaly associated with germline PTEN tumour suppressor gene mutations. *J. Med. Genet.*, **42**, 318–321.
 35. Buxbaum, J.D., Cai, G., Chaste, P., Nygren, G., Goldsmith, J., Reichert, J., Anckarsäter, H., Rastam, M., Smith, C.J., Silverman, J.M. *et al.* (2007) Mutation screening of the PTEN gene in patients with autism spectrum disorders and macrocephaly. *Am. J. Med. Genet. B Neuropsychiatr. Genet.*, **144B**, 484–491.
 36. Goffin, A., Hoefsloot, L.H., Bosgoed, E., Swillen, A. and Fryns, J.P. (2001) PTEN mutation in a family with Cowden syndrome and autism. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A*, **105**, 521–524.
 37. Herman, G.E., Butter, E., Enriile, B., Pastore, M., Prior, T.W. and Sommer, A. (2007) Increasing knowledge of PTEN germline mutations: Two additional patients with autism and macrocephaly. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A*, **143**, 589–593.
 38. Orrico, A., Galli, L., Buoni, S., Orsi, A., Vonella, G. and Sorrentino, V. (2009) Novel PTEN mutations in neurodevelopmental disorders and macrocephaly. *Clin. Genet.*, **75**, 195–198.
 39. Varga, E.A., Pastore, M., Prior, T., Herman, G.E. and McBride, K.L. (2009) The prevalence of PTEN mutations in a clinical pediatric cohort with autism spectrum disorders, developmental delay, and macrocephaly. *Genet. Med.*, **11**, 111–117.
 40. Hildebrandt, H., Mühlhoff, M., Oltmann-Norden, I., Röckle, I., Burkhardt, H., Weinhold, B. and Gerardy-Schahn, R. (2009) Imbalance of neural cell adhesion molecule and polysialyltransferase alleles causes defective brain connectivity. *Brain*, **132**, 2831–2838.
 41. Nacher, J., Guirado, R., Varea, E., Alonso-Llosa, G., Röckle, I. and Hildebrandt, H. (2010) Divergent impact of the polysialyltransferases ST8SiaII and ST8SiaIV on polysialic acid expression in immature neurons and interneurons of the adult cerebral cortex. *Neuroscience*, **167**, 825–837.
 42. Ter Horst, J.P., Loscher, J.S., Pickering, M., Regan, C.M. and Murphy, K.J. (2008) Learning-associated regulation of polysialylated neural cell adhesion molecule expression in the rat prefrontal cortex is region-, cell type- and paradigm-specific. *Eur. J. Neurosci.*, **28**, 419–427.

43. Arai, M., Yamada, K., Toyota, T., Obata, N., Haga, S., Yoshida, Y., Nakamura, K., Minabe, Y., Ujike, H., Sora, I. *et al.* (2006) Association between polymorphisms in the promoter region of the sialyltransferase 8B (SIAT8B) gene and schizophrenia. *Biol. Psychiatry*, **59**, 652–659.
44. Tao, R., Li, C., Zheng, Y., Qin, W., Zhang, J., Li, X., Xu, Y., Shi, Y.Y., Feng, G. and He, L. (2007) Positive association between SIAT8B and schizophrenia in the Chinese Han population. *Schizophr. Res.*, **90**, 108–114.
45. Risi, S., Lord, C., Gotham, K., Corsello, C., Chrysler, C., Szatmari, P., Cook, E.H. Jr, Leventhal, B.L. and Pickles, A. (2006) Combining information from multiple sources in the diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry*, **45**, 1094–1103.
46. Geschwind, D.H., Sowiński, J., Lord, C., Iversen, P., Shestack, J., Jones, P., Ducat, L. and Spence, S.J. AGRE Steering Committee (2001) The autism genetic resource exchange: a resource for the study of autism and related neuropsychiatric conditions. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **69**, 463–466.
47. Browning, B.L. and Browning, S.R. (2009) A unified approach to genotype imputation and haplotype-phase inference for large data sets of trios and unrelated individuals. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **84**, 210–223.
48. Lee, A.B., Luca, D., Klei, L., Devlin, B. and Roeder, K. (2010) Discovering genetic ancestry using spectral graph theory. *Genet. Epidemiol.*, **34**, 51–59.
49. Crossett, A., Kent, B.P., Klei, L., Ringquist, R., Trucco, M., Roeder, K. and Devlin, B. (2010) Using ancestry matching to combine family-based and unrelated samples for genome-wide association studies. *Statist. Med.* (in press).
50. Cordell, H.J., Barratt, B.J. and Clayton, D.G. (2004) Case/pseudocontrol analysis in genetic association studies: a unified framework for detection of genotype and haplotype associations, gene–gene and gene–environment interactions, and parent-of-origin effects. *Genet. Epidemiol.*, **26**, 167–185.
51. Liu, X.Q., Paterson, A.D. and Szatmari, P. Autism Genome Project Consortium (2008) Genome-wide linkage analyses of quantitative and categorical autism subphenotypes. *Biol. Psychiatry*, **64**, 561–570.
52. Luca, D., Ringquist, S., Klei, L., Lee, A.B., Gieger, C., Wichmann, H.E., Schreiber, S., Krawczak, M., Lu, Y., Styche, A. *et al.* (2008) On the use of general control samples for genome-wide association studies: genetic matching highlights causal variants. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **82**, 453–463.